

## **Original Research Article**

# **Optimization of Kiln Feed Chemical Composition for Clinker Formation in BUA Sokoto Cement Plant**

# <sup>1</sup>Nuhu, S., <sup>2</sup>Abubakar, H. A. and <sup>2</sup>Mukhtar, B.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, Umaru Ali Shinkafi Polytechnic, Sokoto, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Kaduna, Nigeria. \*sanusin48@gmail.com

http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10441687

ARTICLE INFORMATION	ABSTRACT
Article history: Received 17 Aug. 2023 Revised 01 Nov. 2023 Accepted 09 Nov. 2023 Available online 30 Dec. 2023 Keywords: Cement Clinker Limestone Material Optimization	Inappropriate chemical composition of cement raw materials has significant impact on the cost of cement production, and clinker formation. This paper presents optimization of the kiln feed chemical compositions for clinker formation with minimum cost, while fulfilling the critical clinker quality parameters. Mathematical model that relates raw material and fuel mix chemistry to clinker chemistry was developed and solved using linear programming method to achieve the objective.
	The study considered BUA Cement Plant Sokoto, as a case study. It has 5 types of feed materials with different limestone quality grades grouped into stockpiles 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 with the fifth stockpiles being a corrected material. Based on the linear programming simulation, the optimized kiln feed was achieved at the cost of \$12.63 per ton of kiln feed with 74.90% of limestone, which when compared with the corresponding production cost from the company for the same feed obtained to be \$15.71 per ton with 76.31 % of limestone. With 74.90% of limestone is expected to lead to a saving of \$3.08 per ton of kiln feed. The clinker quality parameters achieved from this study are 97.26% of lime saturation factor (LSF), 2.45% of silica modulus (SM) and 1.56% of alumina modulus (AM), which satisfied the industrial quality standard. The clinker minerals corresponding to these parameters were calculated to be 63.17% of C <sub>3</sub> S, 11.21% of C <sub>2</sub> S, 8.57% of C <sub>3</sub> A and C <sub>4</sub> AF. The results obtained show that the models can minimize the raw material cost without trading-off the clinker quality.
	© 2023 RIEES All rights reserved

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Cement is a hydraulic binder forming a paste when mix with water. It is the most used construction material in the world. Cement original materials are usually the limestone, shale, sandstone, clay and corrected

material. The production of cement has several procedures, which include raw materials blending process, clinker production process; clinker grinding process and packaging process (Rikoto and Nuhu, 2019).

Kiln is the heart of the cement manufacturing, is the stage at which finely grounded and well blended raw materials (kiln feed) undergo a chemical transformation at the temperature of 1450 to form a new compound called clinker (Nuhu et al., 2022). The quality of cement raw material and cement clinker can be evaluated by the cement lime saturation (LSF), silica modulus (SM), alumina modulus (AM). LSF, SM and AM are directly determined by the lime, silica, alumina and iron oxides which are contained in cement raw material.

The Kiln Feed is a well-blended raw material that have the sufficient percentage Calcium Carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), Silica Modulus (SM), Alumina Modulus (AM) and LSF. The optimum chemical composition of kiln feed must be ranged 74-76% of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>). This range gives soft burning in the kiln, good clinker formation with less energy consumption (Aldieb and Ibrahim, 2010). The current existing method practicing at BUA Cement Plant Sokoto used to formulate the raw mix design is based on iterative laboratory trials, which is time consuming and heavily relies on the chemist's experience. The aim of this research work was to optimize the kiln feed chemical composition for clinker formation in BUA Sokoto Cement Plant.

The preparation of good kiln feed is an important step in cement manufacturing process. Producing a good quality clinker depends heavily on it. In general, the feed introduced into the rotary kiln consists of 80 % of calcium carbonate (CaCO) and 20% of clay (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O etc) (Besulandu and Marias, 2019). The cement factory may have to correct the contents. The overall composition is sometimes adjusted to meet the requirements of the type of cement to be produced. The transformation of kiln feed into clinker takes place through many chemical reactions that involving the following compounds: CaCO<sub>3</sub>, CaO, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. (CaO)<sub>2</sub>.SiO<sub>2</sub>, (CaO)<sub>3</sub>.Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (CaO)<sub>4</sub>.Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Some compounds are often neglected because they are considered as minor elements with low levels (Tiryaki et al., 2016). The production of quality cement is possible only if the composition of the mixture of raw materials is made optimally. Bisulandu and Marias (2019), gave the limit values which the limestone-clay mixture finely ground must satisfy.

Previously, many researchers have studied and published papers on various cement processes in cement production. In Barath et al., 2022, work on Optimization of raw mix design of clinker production, they developed an optimization models, to obtain the best mix proportion with minimum cost, mathematical models were also developed to obtain the clinker composition, they raw material chemical composition was generated from the models. In Li et al. (2012) work on modeling and optimization of cement raw materials blending process they developed a general nonlinear time-varying model of the raw material blending process but didn't give much attention on the kiln feed (raw mix) critical production parameters. All the previous researches didn't give much attention to modeling of raw material chemical composition and obtaining optimum percentage of CaCO<sub>3</sub>. It was reported that, the optimum chemical composition of kiln feed (raw mix), must be ranged 74-76% of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>). This range gives soft burning in the kiln, good clinker formation with less energy consumption (Aldieb and Ibrahim, 2010). This work presented an optimization of the kiln feed chemical compositions for clinker formation with minimum cost, while fulfilling the critical clinker quality parameters. The objectives of this research include; Formulation of raw mix optimization models to obtain the mix proportion with minimum cost, development of mathematical equations to obtain the clinker chemistry and calculation of the critical cement craft parameters and mineral phases (LSF, SM, and AM). The study considered the BUA Cement Plant, Sokoto, Nigeria as a case study. It has five types of feed materials with different limestone quality grades grouped into stockpiles 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 with the fifth stockpiles being a corrected material. Each raw material sample was collected and analyzed using X- ray diffraction (XRD) analyzer machine, to determine the chemical composition of the materials.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1. Data and Software Used

The data and software used included data of the raw materials' chemical composition, chemical composition of the fuel ash, process operating condition data from the lab, MATLAB software and Microsoft excel.

Table 1. Notation of chemical composition of the faw materials						
Matarial type (tops)	Mass of chemical compositions oxides in stockpiles					Cost/ton
Material type (tons)	SiO <sub>2</sub>	CaO	$Al_2O_3$	FeO <sub>3</sub>	MgO	- Cost/toll
Stockpile 1, X <sub>1</sub>	$\omega_1$	<b>P</b> 1	$\Gamma_1$	$\mu_1$	$\rho_1$	$C_1$
Stockpile 2, X <sub>2</sub>	$\omega_2$	<b>]</b> ∕ 2	$\mathbb{F}_2$	μ2	ρ <sub>2</sub>	$C_2$
Stockpile 3, X <sub>3</sub>	ω <sub>3</sub>	<b>₽</b> 3	Γ <sub>3</sub>	μ3	ρ <sub>3</sub>	$C_3$
Stockpile 4, X <sub>4</sub>	ω4	<b>)</b> I 4	Γ 4	μ4	ρ4	$C_4$
Corrected Material X <sub>5</sub>	$\omega_5$	₽ 5	IT 5	μ 5	ρ <sub>5</sub>	$C_5$

|--|

Where

X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>3</sub>, X<sub>4</sub> and X<sub>5</sub> represent the mass of stockpiles in the raw mill

 $\omega_1$  to  $\omega_5$  represent the mass of silica content in stockpile 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively

 $y_1$  to  $y_5$  represent the mass of Lime content in stockpile 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively

 $\mathbb{T}_1$  to  $\mathbb{T}_5$  represent the mass of Alumina content in stockpile 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively

 $\mu_1$  to  $\mu_5$  represent the mass of Iron content in stockpile 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively

 $\rho_1$  to  $\rho_5$  represent the mass of Magnesium content in stockpile 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>5</sub> represent the cost raw material per stockpile

The objective function is expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize cost} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i X_i \\ \frac{Cost}{ton} \ x \ ton \ &= Cost \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Where C<sub>i</sub> is the cost/ton, X<sub>i</sub> is the material type & n is the number of samples (stockpile)

#### 2.2. Formulation of the Optimization Models in Linear Programming

The linear programming problem was formulated as follows:

$$(X_1)(\gamma_1) + (X_2)(\gamma_2) + (X_3)(\gamma_3) + (X_4)(\gamma_4) + (X_5)(\gamma_5) = C_{RM}$$
(2)

$$(X_1)(\omega_1) + (X_2)(\omega_2) + (X_3)(\omega_3) + (X_4)(\omega_4) + (X_5)(\omega_5) = S_{RM}$$
(3)

$$(X_1)(\Gamma_1) + (X_2)(\Gamma_2) + (X_3)(\Gamma_3) + (X_4)(\Gamma_4) + (X_5)(\Gamma_5)X5 = A_{\rm RM}$$
(4)

$$(X_1)(\mu_1) + (X_2)(\mu_2) + (X_3)(\mu_3) + (X_4)(\mu_4) + (X_5)(\mu_5) = F_{RM}$$
(5)

$$(X_1)(\rho_1) + (X_2)(\rho_2) + (X_3)(\rho_3) + (X_4)(\rho_4) + (X_5)(\rho_5) = M_{RM}$$
(6)

#### 2.2.1. Development of mathematical equations to obtain the clinker chemistry

The conversion from raw mix chemical composition to clinker chemical composition can be done by multiplying it by loss on ignition factor (LOI). The loss on ignition can be determined by test in a laboratory furnace (Barath et al, 2022).

The formula to calculate the loss on ignition factor is:

$$\text{LOI factor} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{LOI}{100}} \tag{7}$$

Then, the contribution of chemical oxide of both raw mix and fuel mix that transform into clinker phases are as follows:

S. Nuhu et al. / Nigerian Research Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences 8(2) 2023 pp. 296-303

$$((C_{RM}) \times \text{Loss Factor } x \frac{99.5}{100}) + \left( (C_{Ash}) x \frac{0.5}{100} \right) = C_{Ckr}$$
(8)

$$((S_{RM}) \times \text{Loss Factor } x \frac{99.5}{100}) + \left( (S_{Ash}) x \frac{0.5}{100} \right) = S_{Ckr}$$
(9)

$$((A_{RM}) \times \text{Loss Factor } x \frac{99.5}{100}) + \left( (A_{Ash}) x \frac{0.5}{100} \right) = A_{Ckr}$$
(10)

$$((F_{RM}) \times \text{Loss Factor } x \frac{99.5}{100}) + \left( (F_{Ash}) x \frac{0.5}{100} \right) = F_{Ckr}$$
(11)

$$((M_{RM}) \text{ x Loss Factor x } \frac{99.5}{100}) + \left((M_{Ash})x \frac{0.5}{100}\right) = M_{Ckr}$$
(12)

## 2.2.2. Clinker minerals

The cement clinker mainly consists of four phases (minerals), namely alite ( $C_3S$ ), belite ( $C_2S$ ), tricalcium aluminate ( $C_3A$ ) and tetracalciumaluminoferrite ( $C_4AF$ ) (Barath et al, 2022). C, S, A and F in the clinker mineral, represent as CaO, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> respectively, it also have the small proportion of voids where the free lime (FCaO) and free MgO are present without combining with the other phases. The main clinker minerals compositions are then calculated through the application of Bogue equations (Barath et al, 2022)

$$C_{3}S = 4.07((C_{ckr}) - FcaO) - 7.60(S_{ckr}) - 6.72(A_{ckr}) - 1.43(F_{ckr}) - 2.85(S_{Ash})$$
(13)

$$C_2 S = 2.87(S_{ckr}) - 0.754C_3 S$$
<sup>(14)</sup>

$$C_3A = 2.65(A_{ckr}) - 1.69(F_{ckr})$$
(15)

$$C_4AF = 3.04(F_{ckr}) \tag{16}$$

When limestone is converted to lime in the kiln, the four oxides can react to form the clinker minerals which give cement its properties.

The four main clinker minerals made in the kiln are:

- a) Ferrite C<sub>4</sub>AF) -Tetra calcium alumina ferrite 4CaO.Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>
- b) Aluminate C<sub>3</sub>A) Tricalcuim aluminate 3Ca.Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>
- c) Belite (C<sub>2</sub>S) -Dicalcuim Silicate 2Ca.SiO<sub>2</sub>
- d) Alite  $(C_3S)$  -Tricalcuim silicate  $3Ca.SiO_2$

The materials are designated for short as C<sub>3</sub>S,C<sub>2</sub>S,C<sub>3</sub>A and C<sub>4</sub>AF respectively.

## 2.3. Control Parameters

The critical cement craft parameters such as Lime Saturation Factor (LSF), Silica Modulus (SM), and Alumina Modulus (AM) are the control parameters for the clinker quality target (Barath et al, 2022).

#### 2.3.1. Lime saturation factor (LSF)

The lime saturation factor expresses the ratio between the lime present in the mixture and the amount of lime capable of combining with the silica to form  $C_3S$  and  $C_2S$ . For technical purposes good values ranged from 0.85 to 0.98 (Nuhu et al., 2020). When the lime saturation factor is greater than 100 %, there is imbalance between the constituents (Nuhu et al. 2020).

$$LS = \frac{C_{ckr}}{2.8S_{ckr} + 1.18A_{ckr} + 0.65F_{ckr}}$$
(17)

#### 2.3.2. Silica Modulus (SM)

The melt phase in the burning zone is a function of silica modulus. When SM is high the amount of melt is low. Therefore, when the SM is too high the formation of nodules and chemical reaction may be too slow making it difficult to operate (Alemayehu and Sahu, 2013). It can be calculated using the formula:

S. Nuhu et al. / Nigerian Research Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences 8(2) 2023 pp. 296-303

$$SM = \frac{S_{ckr}}{A_{ckr} + F_{ckr}}$$
(18)

Silica modulus is one of the main properties of  $C_2S$  (belite) and  $C_3S$  (alite), which make up the liquid phase and help in alite formation (Nuhu et al., 2020) Silica modulus usually ranges from 2.0 to 2.7 this ranges gives a better burnability and hence lead to good formation of clinker with lowest possible cost of operation, it also the formation of liquid phase. Liquid phase is important as it led to formation of coating ring inside kiln.

### 2.3.3. Alumina modulus (AM)

Alumina modulus is also used as an indicator of burning temperature and flux characteristic in the Kiln. It is the measure of the proportion of alumina to iron oxide in the mix, it allows to estimate the ratio of  $Al_2O_3$  and  $Fe_2O_3$  in the mixture. It can be calculated using the formula (Telschow, 2012).

$$AM = \frac{A_{ckr}}{F_{ckr}}$$
(19)

The values of alumina modulus are usually in the range from 1.5 to 2.5. The modulus determines the compositions of liquid phase in the clinker, when it is lower than 1.5 both oxides are present in their molecular ratios and therefore only tetracalciumaluminoferrite can be formed in the clinker. (Mohamed Ibrahim, 2010). Earlier formation of liquid phase or flux in the kiln allows alite formation to start sooner and go for a longer time, which improve the conversion of belite into alite.

#### **Model assumptions**

The following assumptions were considered in the formulation for simplicity:

- 1. All minor oxides are negligible except the magnesium oxide (MgO)
- 2. The free lime content in the clinker is 1.55%

3. Fuel ash absorption is assumed to be 0.5% and the remaining 99.5% which would be use to obtain clinker chemistry

## 2.4. Production of Clinker

CaO, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are the major components of cement clinker, they account for more than 95%. MgO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and alkalis are the minor components in total less than 3% and are not present in individual oxide but exist as compounds formed by two or more oxides (Aldieb and Ibrahim, 2010). They are types of clinker minerals formed in the kiln depend on how much of each raw material is present in the raw mix. Decreasing or increasing the raw material proportions affects both the process and the production. Examples are shown in Table 2.

Change to raw mix	Effect on process	Effect on product
Less lime	Easier burning – less fuel, more clinker, and longer brick life. Harder to grind, lower cement mill output	Less early strength but higher late strength and longer setting time
Less silica (as sand)	Harder burning, more fuel, dusty clinker, shorter brick life but easier milling	Less very early strength lower heat of hydration better sulphate resistance
Less alumina	Harder burning, more fuel, dusty clinker, shorter brick life but easier milling	Less very early strength and heat of hydration, better sulphate resistance.
Less iron oxide	Harder burning, more fuel, smaller clinker nodules and easier milling	Lighter colour red clinker.

Table 2: Possible effect of changing raw mix consumption (Aldieb and Ibrahim, 2010)

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 represent the current data of raw material chemical composition obtained based on iterative laboratory trials from the quality control department (laboratory) of the factory. The data shows the chemical compositions of different stockpile including the corrected material, and cost per ton of raw mix. From their analysis they were able to determine \$15.71 per raw mix with 76.31% of calcium carbonate quality. 76.31% of calcium carbonate are within the industrial standard as it was captured in the literature review. Table 2 represents the optimization results after the simulation, the following gives the summary description of MATLAB simulation algorithm used in optimization of kiln feed composition (proportion of raw mix). In the first line of the MATLAB code, we define the optimization problem. In lines 2 - 6, we define the stock of the raw materials that we got from lab practical. Next, we define a variable X which denote the raw material. After solving the optimization equations using dual-simplex method the results were obtained to be \$12.63 per tons of kiln feed (raw mix) with 74.90 % of limestone quality, clay was determined to be 21.84% and 3.2% of corrected material.

Table 1: Chemical composition of raw material							
Materials	Stockpile 1 (Tons)	Stockpile 2 (Tons)	Corrected material (Tons)	Stockpile 3 (Tons)	Stockpile 4 (Tons)	Calculated results	Cost (\$)
Compositions (%)	0	26	0	74	0	100	
CaO	42.50	43.66	9.98	38.98	38.10	40.20	12.53
SiO <sub>2</sub>	11.05	11.71	45.93	14.18	16.98	13.54	11.98
$Al_2O_3$	3.02	3.43	13.49	4.57	4.78	4.27	12.78
$Fe_2O_3$	2.70	2.09	13.30	3.23	2.94	2.93	13.67
MgO	1.58	1.42	13.84	1.93	1.94	1.80	27.60
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	85.67	86.22	17.82	72.45	68.32	76.31	15.71

Table 2: Simulated result for the mix proportion						
Decision variable	Description	Raw mix proportion	Proportions (%)			
X1	Limestone	0.749	74.900			
$X_2$	Sand	0.000	0.000			
$X_3$	Clay	0.218	21.840			
$X_4$	Shale	0.000	0.000			
X5	Corrected	0.033	3.260			
Total		1.000	100			

Based on the optimization model, the chemical composition of raw materials was used to calculate the clinker chemistry. Equations (8) to (12) were used to compute the clinker chemistry as obtained in Table 3. Table 4 presents to the remaining information that needs to be identified in the linear programming which is associated with the mathematical calculation to convert raw material chemical composition to clinker chemistry. Table 5 represent the results of critical craft parameter, which were obtained using equations (17) to (19). The results indicated that, the parameters are within the target range. Therefore, there is less energy consumption, good clinker formation. As it was mentioned in the literature review that optimum chemical composition of kiln feed must be ranged 74-76% of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>). This range gives soft burning in the kiln, good clinker formation with less energy consumption (Aldieb and Ibrahim, 2010). However, the results presented by linear optimization model will only be used when the chemical composition of the raw materials and fuel remains unchanged, which is very difficult (Barath et al, 2022). As the raw material and fuel chemical composition is analyzed based on the random sampling that doesn't represent the whole stockpile. Table 6 represent the clinker minerals/phase and was determined using Equations (13) to (16). In Aldieb and Ibrahim, (2010), it was reported that, alite (C<sub>3</sub>S), is the most important constituent in normal Portland cement clinkers. 50-70% range of alite (C<sub>3</sub>S), produces most strength up to

and including 28 days, belite (C<sub>2</sub>S) constitutes 15-30% of normal Portland cement clinkers and this range improves later strength ( $\geq$ 28 days).

Table 3 chemical composition of clinker and fuel mix					
	$C_{ckr}$	S <sub>ckr</sub>	A <sub>ckr</sub>	F <sub>ckr</sub>	M <sub>Ckr</sub>
1	40.20	19.00	6.00	2.95	6.00
	Fue	el Mix 0.5	%		
S/N	$C_{ASH}$	$S_{ASH}$	$A_{ASH}$	FASHE	$M_{ASH}$
	45.43	23.37	5.67	1.20	4.87
Table 4: Mul	tiplication f	actor, LO	I, and fre	ee lime cor	ntent
	Parameter	s	Values		
	LOI (%)		36		
	Factor		1.568		
	(SO <sub>3</sub> )Clk		1.526		
	Free Lime	•	1.550		
Table 5: C	Calculated c	ritical cer	nent craf	t paramete	r
Clinker	minerals	Tai	get	Results	
L	SF	95-	-98	97.26	
SM		1.9	1.9-3.2 2.45		
A	M	1.5	-2.5	1.56	
Table 6: Clinker mineral					
Clinker	minerals	Target	t (%)	Result (%)	)
Alite	$(C_3S)$	50 -	70	63.17	
Belite	$c(C_2S)$	15 –	30	17.21	
Alumina	ate (C <sub>3</sub> A)	5 –	10	8.57	
Ferrite	$(C_4AF)$	5 –	15	9.82	

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

This research work, optimization of kiln feed chemical composition of raw material for clinker formation has demonstrated high potential financial gains and can be achieved with the application of linear programming method, through the use of MATLAB, and Excel. After the optimization, the following conclusions were drawn; the current production cost at BUA Cement Plant 1 was determined to be \$15.71 per ton of kiln feed (raw mix) with 76.31 % of limestone quality. However, for this study, the production cost was determined to be \$12.63 per ton of kiln feed (raw mix) with 74.90% of limestone quality, which when compared will lead to a saving of \$3.08 per ton of kiln feed (raw mix). The clinker quality parameters achieved from this study are 97.26% of lime saturation factor (LSF), 2.45% of silica modulus (SM) and 1.56% of alumina modulus (AM), which satisfied the industrial quality standard. The clinker minerals corresponding to these parameters were calculated to be 63.17% of  $C_3S$ , 17.21% of  $C_2S$ , 8.57% of  $C_3A$  and 9.82% of  $C_4AF$ . The results obtained show that the models can minimize the raw material cost without trading-off the clinker quality.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance and contributions of the Chief Engineer Production and Staff of Quality Control Department of BUA Cement Plant Sokoto, toward the success of this work.

## 6. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest associated with this work.

## REFERENCES

Alemayehu, F. and Sahu, O. (2012). Minimization of Variation in Clinker Quality. *Advance in Material*, 2(2), pp. 23-28.

Aldieb, M.A. and Ibrahim, H.A., Variation of Feed Chemical Composition and its Effect on Clinker Formation. *Proceeding of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science* 2010 Vol II WCECS 2010, Occtober 20-22, 2010, San Francisco, USA

Atmaca. A. and Yumrutas, R. (2014). Analysis of the Parameters Affecting Energy Consumption of a Rotary Kiln in Cement Industry. *Applied Thermal Energy*, 66, pp. 435-444

Aysun Egrisogut Tiryaki, Recep Kozan, Nurettin Gokhan Adar. (2015). Mathematical Modeling of a Cement Raw Material Blending Process Using a Neural Network. *Material and Technology*, 50(4), pp. 485-490

Bisulandu, B. J. R. M., Marias, F. (2019) Modeling of Cement Clinker Chemistry and Engineering of Cement Manufacturing Process. *International Journal of Innovation and Applied* Studies, 25 (2), pp. 528-551

Cantini. C., Martini, F. (2021). Technological Energy Efficiency Improvement in Cement *Industries Sustainability* 2021, 13, p. 3810

CCNN - Sokoto. (2009). Cement Operation Course 2009. Sokoto: *Isaksson - Taylor*. Cement Company of Northern Nigeria PLC, Sokoto. (2013, 2016). Cement Milling. Sokoto.

Hokfors, B., Eriksson, M., and Viggh, E. (2014). Modeling the Cement Process and Cement Clinker Quality. *Advances in Cement Research*, 2014, 26(6)

Li, X., Yu, H. and Yuan, M. (2012). Modeling and Optimization of Cement Raw Materials Blending Process. *Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Mathematical Problem in Engineering*, 2, p. 34

Marroccoli, M. L. (2010). Utilization of Coal Combustion Ashes for the Synthesis of Ordinary and Special Cements. *Combustion of Science and Technology*, 4(2), pp. 214-220

Mohamed A. Aldieb, a. H. (2010). Variation of Feed Chemical Composition and its Effect on Clinker Formation. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science*. Vol II WCECS 2010, October 20-22, 2010, San Francisco, USA

Mathew, B., Erikandath, S., Lele, P.G., Khadilkar, S.A, (2016). Process Control & Clinker Quality Monitoring through *Mineralogical and Micro structural Indices, Conference Paper* December 2016 pp: 321

Nuhu. Sanusi, L. S. (2020). Effects and Control of Chemical Composition of Clinker for Cement Production. *International Journal of Control Science and Engineering* 2020, 10(1): 16-21 DOI: 10.5923/j.control.20201001.03

Rikoto. I.I, Nuhu. S, (2019). Effect of Free Lime and Lime Saturation Factor on Grindability of Cement Clinker. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Review* Vol 7, issue 1, pp: (61-66)

Telschow, S. (2012). Clinker Burning Kinetics and Mechanism. Denmark: Telschow, S.(2012). Clinker Kinetics and Mechanism. Kgs. Lyngby: Technical University of Denmark.